

115TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. R. 5846

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

JULY 17, 2018

Received; read twice and referred to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs

AN ACT

To require the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct a study regarding the buyout practices of the Federal Emergency Management Agency, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

1 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

2 This Act may be cited as the “Promoting Flood Risk
3 Mitigation Act”.

4 **SEC. 2. GAO STUDY REGARDING BUYOUT PRACTICES.**

5 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section—

6 (1) the term “Administrator” means the Ad-
7 ministrator of the Federal Emergency Management
8 Agency;

9 (2) the term “appropriate committees of Con-
10 gress” means—

11 (A) the Committee on Banking, Housing,
12 and Urban Affairs of the Senate;

13 (B) the Committee on Homeland Security
14 and Governmental Affairs of the Senate;

15 (C) the Committee on Financial Services of
16 the House of Representatives; and

17 (D) the Committee on Transportation and
18 Infrastructure of the House of Representatives;

19 (3) the terms “buyout practice” and “buyout
20 program” mean a practice or program, as applicable,
21 under which the Administrator provides assistance
22 to State and local governments so that those entities
23 may acquire flood-damaged properties committed to
24 open space use in perpetuity in accordance with sec-
25 tion 404(b)(2) of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster

1 Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C.
2 5170c(b)(2));

3 (4) the term “eligible property owner” means a
4 policyholder under the National Flood Insurance
5 Program with a household income that is not more
6 than 120 percent of the mean household income for
7 the community in which the primary residence of the
8 policyholder is located;

9 (5) the term “National Flood Insurance Pro-
10 gram” means the program established under the Na-
11 tional Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 4001
12 et seq.);

13 (6) the term “repetitive loss structure” has the
14 meaning given the term in section 1370(a) of the
15 National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C.
16 4121(a)); and

17 (7) the term “severe repetitive loss structure”
18 has the meaning given the term in section 1366(h)
19 of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42
20 U.S.C. 4104c(h)).

21 (b) STUDY REQUIRED.—The Comptroller General of
22 the United States shall conduct a study to assess—

23 (1) the efficacy of buyout practices, as in effect
24 on the date on which the study is conducted; and

5 (c) CONSIDERATIONS AND ANALYSIS.—The study
6 conducted under subsection (b) shall consider and analyze
7 the following:

(A) changes in flood risk, flood frequency, and flood magnitude since the inception of the National Flood Insurance Program; and

(B) projections for changes in flood risk, flood frequency, and flood magnitude by 2025, 2050, and 2075.

(A) the availability of funding sources for buyout programs through various grant programs;

(B) the total number of properties acquired through buyout programs;

(C) the average length of time for a State or local government to acquire a flood-damaged property under a buyout program, with that period beginning on the date on which the State or local government, as applicable, begins participating in the buyout program;

(D) an estimate of the number of flood-damaged properties that could be acquired from willing property owners under buyout programs with the full cooperation of State and local governments;

(E) the socioeconomic status of recipients of buyouts under buyout programs; and

(F) examples of successful buyout programs, including best practices employed.

(3) Administrative, financial, or temporal constraints that may impede the timely acquisition of properties under a buyout program, including—

24 (A) a lack of communication or coopera-
25 tion between the Administrator and the State

1 and local governments that purchase properties
2 under a buyout program;

3 (B) pressures to redevelop a property after
4 acquiring a property through a buyout pro-
5 gram; and

6 (C) a lack of adequate funding.

7 (4) Potential options, methods, and strategies
8 to address the constraints identified under para-
9 graph (3), including evaluating the feasibility of—

10 (A) a pilot program under which—

11 (i) an eligible property owner may
12 agree, before a flood event occurs, to have
13 the primary single-family residence of the
14 eligible property owner purchased after the
15 residence has been substantially damaged
16 by a flood;

17 (ii) the Administrator may provide—

18 (I) financial assistance to State
19 and local governments that are willing
20 to participate in the program to pur-
21 chase and acquire the properties of
22 owners that have incurred substantial
23 damage from a flood event; and

(II) a premium credit as an incentive to eligible property owners to agree to participate in the program;

(iii) properties that are acquired—

(I) shall be maintained as open space in accordance with section 404(b)(2) of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5170e(b)(2));

and

(II) may be used for non-structural mitigation, conservation, and recreational purposes; and

(iv) not fewer than 5 and not more than 10 State and local governments shall participate; and

the role that nonprofit organizations play in making buyouts more readily or more efficient, similar to the role organizations play in the acquisition of land for conservation purposes.

ecological, financial, and flood risk re-benefits that buyout practices, as in effect on which the study is conducted, provide—all—

(A) take into account the differences between inland and coastal areas; and

3 (B) include—

4 (i) examples in which ecosystem res-
5 toration and other nature-based ap-
6 proaches have enhanced the reduction of
7 flood risk; and

10 (6) To the extent possible, an assessment of
11 how the Administrator may use buyout programs to
12 reduce future flood disaster recovery costs that are
13 attributable to future projections of flood risk as a
14 result of sea level rise, population changes, subsid-
15 ence, and other factors.

22 (d) REPORT.—

23 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
24 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller
25 General of the United States shall submit to the ap-

1 appropriate committees of Congress and the Adminis-
2 trator a report that sets forth the analysis, conclu-
3 sions, and recommendations resulting from the study
4 conducted under subsection (b).

5 (2) CONTENTS.—The report submitted under
6 paragraph (1) shall detail the feasibility of the Ad-
7 ministrator establishing, and the processes required
8 for the Administrator to establish, an alternative
9 buyout program, such as the pilot program described
10 in subsection (c)(4)(A).

Passed the House of Representatives July 16, 2018.

Attest: KAREN L. HAAS,
Clerk.